IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Great Lakes Insurance	SE,)		
vs. Wagner & Lynch, PLL	Plaintiff(s), C Defendant(s).)))))	Case No. 6:23-cv-00052-	JAR
JOINT STATUS REPORT				
JURY DEMANDED:	X Yes	No		
			ng was held on and defendant appearing	
I. Summary of Cla	aims:			
make claims payments or claim under an exclusion	Defendant's claim to for damage caused b	for water damag y water which b	obligation under a policy for the covered property. Plain acks up from a sewer or dranges but does request decl	ntiff denied the ain. Defendant
	claratory action. Defe	endant seeks dan	ed in bad faith by improper nages under the policy alon on its counterclaim.	
II. Summary of De	fenses:			
	and conditions of the		nat it complied at all times ntiff also asserts there wa	
policy, and that it is a pa	ttern and practice for verage of the claim.	or Plaintiff to do	denying coverage under o so. Defendant states that is that the declaratory action	t there was no
III. Motions Pending	g: None pending.			
IV. Are Dispositive	Motions Anticipated	17 If so descri	the them	

Last updated 01/23/23

Motions for Summary Judgment are anticipated on both sides.

V. Stipulat	ions:
-------------	-------

- A. Jurisdiction Admitted: YESB. Venue Appropriate: YES
- C. Facts:
 - 1. On or around June 13, 2022, Great Lakes issued Policy No. GLP012829 to Wagner & Lynch, LLC, providing casualty coverage for its commercial office building located at 123 W. Main St., Wilburton, OK 74578.
 - 2. On or around October 24, 2022, a claim for water damage was submitted under Policy No. GLP012829, which was in effect on the date of loss.
 - 3. That the claim for water damage under the policy was denied.
- D. Law:
 - 1. Oklahoma substantive law and Federal procedural and declaratory judgment law. Applicable substantive Oklahoma and Federal law involving insurance bad faith.
- VI. **Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 Discovery Plan**: The parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan: (Use separate paragraphs or subparagraphs as necessary if parties disagree.)

Have initial disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) been exchanged <u>and filed?</u>

Yes X__ No, Explain:

The Parties have agreed to produce initial disclosures within two weeks of the Filing of this document.

 $Note: Plaintiff shall \ submit\ a\ calculation\ of\ every\ category\ of\ damages\ requested.$

Note that pursuant to Rule 26 and this Order, all parties are under an affirmative duty to (i) comply with the mandatory disclosure requirements, and (ii) notify the court of any nondisclosure so that the issue can be promptly resolved. Failure of any party to disclose information, or failure of any party to bring disclosure issues to the court's attention in a timely manner, may result in sanctions, including prohibiting the use of that information at trial, pursuant to Rule 37(c)(1).

If applicable, have disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1 been filed?

_____ Yes _____ No, Explain:

Should any changes be made in the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the court's local rules?

Yes X No, Explain:

Discovery will be needed on the following subjects: Depositions will be needed

regarding the conditions of the property at issue, the scope and application of the subject policy to the claim, the cause of loss, and factual circumstances surrounding

	the loss.
	Should discovery be limited at this time to any particular subject matters or issues? Yes X No
	Phased Discovery requested (If requested, the in-person Joint Status Conference cannot be waived): Yes X No
	All discovery commenced in time to be completed by September 29, 2023
	Maximum of 30 interrogatories by each party to any other party. Responses due 30 days after service.
	Maximum of $\underline{30}$ requests for admission by each party to any other party. Responses due $\underline{30}$ days after service.
	Maximum of $\underline{6}$ depositions by plaintiff(s) and $\underline{6}$ by defendant(s).
	Each deposition is limited to maximum of $\underline{6}$ hours unless extended by agreement of parties.
	Is there a need for any other special discovery management orders by the court? Yes X No, Explain:
	Estimated number of days required for trial 4.
VII.	All parties consent to trial before Magistrate Judge? X Yes No
	If you mark "yes", you will be deemed to have consented to the jurisdiction of the assigned United States Magistrate Judge without the necessity for the filing or submission of any other documentation. The United States Magistrate Judge will exercise complete jurisdiction over this case through and including trial and the entry of a final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(a).
	If you mark "no", the case will immediately be reassigned to a United States District Judge.
VIII.	Settlement Plan (Check one)
	A. X Settlement Conference Requested after September 29, 2023.
	BOther ADR: (Explain)
IX.	Do the parties request that the Court hold a Scheduling Conference? Yes X No
	If the parties consent to the United States Magistrate Judge under Section VII and do not request a Scheduling Conference, the Court will issue a Scheduling Order based on the

Last updated 01/23/23

information contained in this Joint Status Report and no Scheduling Conference will be conducted, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

Read and Approved by:

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant